

From: John Rolfe
To: [Kwong, Christopher \(MDBRC\)](#)
Cc: [Masters, Joanne \(MDBRC\)](#)
Subject: RE: MDBRC - Professor John Rolfe Review of RMCG Report
Date: Tuesday, 17 July 2018 1:27:28 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Dear Chris,

I am happy to confirm that this is an accurate account of what has taken place and my views about the review process.

I also agree that this email correspondence and my complete review can be tendered as evidence to the Commission

Regards

John

Prof John Rolfe PhD(NSW), BEcon (Hons) (Qld), BComm (Qld), FASSA

School of Business & Law,

CQU Rockhampton Campus, Bruce Highway Rockhampton Qld 4701

P: +61 7 4923 2132 (Ext. 52132) || **E:** j.rolfe@cqu.edu.au

Chair, Independent Science Panel, Gladstone Healthy Harbour Partnership

President, Australasian Agricultural and Resource Economics Society (Queensland Branch)

President Elect, Australasian Agricultural and Resource Economics Society

Associate Editor, *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*



eSIG-140450_ExploreTheCQUniverse



From: Kwong, Christopher (MDBRC) <Christopher.Kwong@mdbrc.sa.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 17 July 2018 1:15 PM
To: John Rolfe <J.Rolfe@cqu.edu.au>
Cc: Masters, Joanne (MDBRC) <Joanne.Masters@mdbrc.sa.gov.au>
Subject: MDBRC - Professor John Rolfe Review of RMCG Report

Dear Professor Rolfe

I refer to your telephone conference with staff of the Commission on 16 July 2018 concerning your peer review of the RMCG report titled 'Basin Plan – GMID socio-economic impact assessment' (**the Report**) dated October 2016.

I confirm your advice to the Commission is as follows:

1. Following a request by telephone, you were provided with a draft version of the RMCG Report for your peer review, by email dated 9 September 2016 from RMCG.
2. You understood that RMCG had engaged you to provide a fairly minor, internal review, which you were asked to provide within a week. As a result of that request, you reviewed the draft report and provided some quick comments.
3. The **attached** document is a complete copy of your peer review of the draft RMCG report. Your preference is that the full document be published, rather than an extract of it.
4. You did not receive any feedback or contact from RMCG after providing your review. In addition, you were not provided with any other version of the RMCG Report, save and except the draft version of the Report (referred to in point 1 above).
5. You did not understand RMCG to have engaged you to undertake a formal and full, external review of the Report. Similarly, you did not understand that RMCG would later attach your review to the report, as evidence of the quality of it.

Please consider whether the above account accurately reflects your views, as discussed in our phone conversation. If so, please confirm that that is the case by return email. You are welcome to make any amendments to the account, as required.

Further, please confirm by return email that you agree to the Commission tendering a copy of this email correspondence and your reply, together with a copy of your complete review, as attached.

I look forward to hearing from you soon. Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Regards

Chris Kwong | Senior Solicitor
Murray-Darling Basin Royal Commission
L9 East, 50 Grenfell Street, Adelaide SA 5000
P: (08) 820 71481
E: chris.kwong@mdbrc.sa.gov.au

MDBRC_Logo_Revised hyphen-01



Disclaimer:

The information in this e-mail may be confidential and/or legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this e-mail by anyone else is unauthorised. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful.